International Journal of Research and Review Techniques (IJRRT), ISSN: 3006-1075
Volume 3, Issue 4, October -December, 2024, Available online at: https://ijrrt.com

Smart Prosthetics Powered by Myoelectric Signals and Edge Computing: Latency Reduction and Sensory Feedback Design

Dr. Kenji Takahashi

Associate Professor, Division of Biomedical Engineering and Robotics, Tohoku University, Japan

ABSTRACT

Smart prosthetic technology has emerged as a transformative innovation in rehabilitation engineering, offering individuals with limb loss improved functionality, mobility, and independence. Conventional prosthetic devices often face limitations related to response time, control accuracy, adaptability, and the absence of natural sensory feedback. The integration of myoelectric signals and edge computing provides a promising approach to overcoming these challenges by enabling intelligent, real-time prosthetic control. Myoelectric prosthetics utilize electrical signals generated by residual muscle contractions to interpret user intentions and translate them into mechanical movements. However, traditional cloud-dependent processing architectures may introduce communication delays, affecting responsiveness and user experience. Edge computing addresses this limitation by processing electromyographic (EMG) signals locally within embedded hardware, reducing computational latency, minimizing network dependency, and improving real-time decision-making. This paper explores an integrated smart prosthetic framework combining advanced EMG signal acquisition, machine learning-based gesture classification, lightweight edge intelligence, and adaptive motor control.

Furthermore, it examines sensory feedback mechanisms, including vibrotactile stimulation, force sensors, pressure sensors, and other wearable haptic technologies, to provide users with meaningful information about grip force, object contact, and movement. The proposed framework emphasizes low-latency signal processing, energy efficiency, classification accuracy, personalized control, and bidirectional communication between the prosthetic device and the human nervous system. A comparative evaluation of cloud-based and edge-based processing architectures is considered to assess their potential impact on response time, reliability, and operational efficiency. The study also highlights challenges associated with electrode displacement, signal variability, battery consumption, hardware integration, and long-term user adaptation. Overall, the integration of myoelectric control, edge computing, and intelligent sensory feedback has significant potential to develop more responsive, accessible, and user-centered prosthetic systems, ultimately improving functional performance and quality of life for individuals with upper-limb disabilities.

Keywords: Smart Prosthetics, Myoelectric Signal Processing, Edge Computing, Sensory Feedback Mechanisms, Real-Time Latency Reduction

INTRODUCTION

The advancement of biomedical engineering, artificial intelligence, and intelligent computing technologies has significantly transformed the development of modern prosthetic devices. Smart prosthetics represent an important innovation in assistive healthcare technology, providing individuals with limb loss opportunities to regain functional mobility, independence, and improved quality of life. Traditional prosthetic limbs primarily rely on mechanical movements or relatively simple electronic control mechanisms, which often limit their adaptability, precision, and responsiveness. In contrast, next-generation smart prosthetic systems integrate biosignal processing, machine learning, embedded intelligence, and sensory feedback to provide more natural and intuitive interactions between users and artificial limbs. Among these emerging technologies, myoelectric signal-based control and edge computing have attracted considerable research attention because of their potential to improve prosthetic performance and reduce operational delays.

Myoelectric prosthetics operate by detecting electrical activity generated during muscle contractions. These electrical signals, commonly known as electromyographic (EMG) signals, are collected through surface electrodes positioned on the residual muscles of an amputated limb. The acquired signals are processed to identify specific muscle activation patterns, which are subsequently translated into corresponding prosthetic movements, such as hand opening, finger closing, wrist rotation, and object gripping. The effectiveness of this control mechanism depends on signal quality, electrode positioning, feature extraction, classification accuracy, and the ability of the system to recognize user intentions accurately. However, EMG signals are inherently variable and can be influenced by muscle fatigue,

perspiration, electrode displacement, environmental interference, and differences in individual muscle activation patterns. These challenges create difficulties in maintaining consistent and reliable prosthetic control.

One of the major limitations of conventional intelligent prosthetic architectures is computational latency. Cloud-based processing systems often require biosignal data to be transmitted to remote servers for analysis and decision-making before control commands are returned to the prosthetic device. Although cloud computing offers substantial computational resources, network transmission delays, connectivity interruptions, and communication overhead can negatively affect real-time operation. Even small delays between user intention and prosthetic movement may reduce control accuracy, create difficulties during complex activities, and negatively influence the user's confidence. Therefore, reducing processing latency has become an essential requirement in the development of advanced myoelectric prosthetic systems.

Edge computing provides an effective technological solution by enabling data processing directly on or near the prosthetic device. Instead of transmitting every EMG signal to a centralized cloud server, lightweight machine learning algorithms can be deployed on embedded processors, microcontrollers, and specialized edge computing hardware. These devices perform signal filtering, feature extraction, pattern recognition, and motor command generation locally. This architecture reduces dependence on internet connectivity, improves system responsiveness, minimizes communication overhead, and supports more reliable real-time prosthetic operation. Furthermore, optimized artificial intelligence models can enable adaptive gesture recognition and personalized control based on individual muscle activation patterns.

Another important aspect of smart prosthetic development is the integration of sensory feedback mechanisms. Conventional prosthetic limbs generally provide limited tactile information, requiring users to depend heavily on visual observation when performing everyday activities. The absence of natural touch, pressure, and force perception makes tasks such as holding fragile objects, handling tools, and controlling grip strength more challenging. Sensory feedback technologies aim to overcome these limitations by transmitting information from prosthetic sensors to the user through alternative stimulation methods. Vibrotactile actuators, pressure sensors, force-sensitive resistors, and haptic interfaces can provide information about object contact, applied gripping force, and mechanical movement. More advanced approaches investigate electrical stimulation and peripheral nerve interfaces to produce sensations that more closely resemble natural touch.

PROPOSED MODELS AND METHODOLOGIES

The proposed research develops an intelligent smart prosthetic framework integrating myoelectric signal acquisition, edge computing, machine learning-based movement recognition, and real-time sensory feedback. The primary objective is to reduce computational latency, improve movement classification accuracy, enhance gripping precision, and provide users with meaningful tactile information. The framework follows a bidirectional control architecture in which muscle-generated electrical signals control prosthetic movements, while embedded sensors communicate physical interaction information back to the user.

2.1 Proposed Smart Prosthetic Architecture

The proposed architecture consists of five interconnected modules:

1. Myoelectric Signal Acquisition Module: Surface electromyography (sEMG) electrodes capture electrical activity generated by residual muscles.
2. Signal Processing Module: Acquired signals undergo noise removal, amplification, segmentation, and normalization.
3. Edge Intelligence Module: Lightweight machine learning algorithms classify muscle activation patterns and generate movement commands locally.
4. Prosthetic Actuation Module: Embedded motor controllers translate classified commands into physical movements.
5. Sensory Feedback Module: Force, pressure, and contact sensors collect information and deliver feedback through vibrotactile or other haptic stimulation mechanisms.

Emerging Frontiers in Robotic Upper-Limb Prostheses: Mechanisms, Materials, Tactile Sensors and Machine Learning-Based EMG Control: A Comprehensive Review
mdpi.com

This architecture minimizes dependence on remote computing infrastructure and enables continuous communication between the user and the prosthetic device.

2.2 Myoelectric Signal Acquisition and Processing Model

The first stage involves collecting EMG signals from residual muscle groups using surface electrodes. These signals represent the electrical activity associated with intended hand and wrist movements.

The acquired signals are processed through the following stages:

- Signal amplification: Enhances low-amplitude electrical signals.
- Noise filtering: Removes unwanted electrical interference and motion artifacts.
- Segmentation: Divides continuous EMG data into smaller analysis windows.
- Normalization: Reduces variations in signal amplitude.
- Feature extraction: Identifies relevant characteristics for movement classification.

Important extracted features include:

- Mean Absolute Value (MAV)
- Root Mean Square (RMS)
- Waveform Length (WL)
- Zero Crossing (ZC)
- Slope Sign Changes (SSC)

The Root Mean Square value can be calculated as:

$$RMS=\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}x_i^2}$$

Where:

- N = Number of signal samples.
- x_i = Individual EMG signal sample.

These extracted features provide useful information about muscle activation intensity and movement patterns.

2.3 Machine Learning-Based Gesture Recognition Model

The proposed system employs lightweight machine learning algorithms to recognize different muscle activation patterns and convert them into corresponding prosthetic movements.

Potential classification algorithms include:

- Support Vector Machine (SVM)
- Random Forest (RF)
- Artificial Neural Network (ANN)
- Lightweight Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)
- Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)

The model identifies intended movements such as hand opening, hand closing, precision gripping, wrist flexion, wrist extension, and object holding.

A hybrid classification architecture may combine conventional feature extraction with a lightweight neural network to improve recognition performance while maintaining computational efficiency.

The classification process can be represented as:

$$\hat{y}=\arg\max_{k}P(y=k\mid X)$$

Where:

- X = Extracted EMG features.
- y = Predicted movement category.
- k = Available movement classes.

The trained model is optimized through quantization and model compression before deployment on embedded edge hardware.

RESULTS & ANALYSIS

The proposed smart prosthetic framework integrates myoelectric signal processing, edge computing, machine learning-based gesture recognition, and sensory feedback mechanisms to improve prosthetic responsiveness and functional performance. The results and analysis focus on evaluating the effectiveness of localized edge processing, movement classification accuracy, sensory feedback reliability, grip-force control, and overall system efficiency.

Since the proposed framework requires experimental validation, the following analysis presents expected evaluation outcomes and illustrative performance values rather than results from a completed clinical experiment.

3.1 Performance of Myoelectric Signal Processing

The EMG signal acquisition and preprocessing module plays an important role in improving the reliability of prosthetic movement recognition. Signal filtering, normalization, segmentation, and feature extraction help reduce unwanted noise and identify meaningful muscle activation patterns.

The extracted features, including Mean Absolute Value (MAV), Root Mean Square (RMS), Waveform Length (WL), and Zero Crossing (ZC), provide important information for distinguishing different movement intentions.

The analysis indicates that combining multiple EMG features can improve the consistency of gesture recognition compared with relying on a single signal characteristic. However, electrode displacement, muscle fatigue, and variations in muscle contraction intensity may influence classification performance.

3.2 Analysis of Movement Classification Accuracy

The machine learning module is designed to recognize different prosthetic movement commands based on extracted EMG features. SVM, Random Forest, ANN, and lightweight CNN models can be evaluated to identify an appropriate balance between classification accuracy and computational requirements.

The following table presents illustrative performance values for comparative analysis.

Classification Algorithm	Illustrative Accuracy (%)	Computational Complexity
SVM	91.2	Low–Moderate
Random Forest	92.5	Moderate
ANN	93.4	Moderate
Lightweight CNN	95.1	Moderate–High
Optimized Edge CNN	94.6	Low–Moderate after optimization

The illustrative results suggest that lightweight CNN-based models may provide strong movement recognition performance. Model optimization can slightly affect classification accuracy while reducing memory requirements and inference time.

3.3 Comparative Analysis of Processing Latency

Processing latency is one of the most important performance indicators in smart prosthetic systems. Delays between muscle activation and mechanical movement can negatively affect natural interaction and movement coordination.
The proposed edge computing architecture processes EMG signals locally, reducing the need for continuous communication with remote servers.

The following values demonstrate a hypothetical comparison of processing architectures.

Processing Architecture	Illustrative Average Latency (ms)
Cloud-Based Processing	185
Hybrid Edge-Cloud Processing	95
Conventional Embedded Processing	75
Optimized Edge AI Processing	38

Illustrative Processing Latency Comparison
Hypothetical average processing latency in milliseconds; lower values indicate faster processing.
0 ms50 ms100 ms150 ms200 msCloudHybridEmbeddedOptimized Edge AI
The illustrative comparison indicates that optimized edge AI processing could substantially reduce computational delays. Compared with the cloud-based configuration, the reduction would be approximately 79.5%.

However, actual end-to-end response time must also account for signal acquisition, internal communication, motor actuation, and mechanical movement.

3.4 Analysis of Sensory Feedback Performance

Sensory feedback is essential for improving the user's awareness of object interaction and grip-force regulation. The proposed framework incorporates pressure sensors, force-sensitive resistors, and vibrotactile actuators to communicate information about physical contact.

The feedback system can be evaluated using different gripping conditions.

Gripping Condition	Sensor Response	Proposed Feedback
No object contact	No significant pressure	No stimulation
Light contact	Low pressure	Low-intensity vibration
Moderate grip	Medium pressure	Medium-intensity vibration
Strong grip	High pressure	High-intensity vibration
Excessive force	Threshold exceeded	Warning stimulation

The analysis suggests that differentiated vibration patterns can help users recognize changes in gripping force. This mechanism may be particularly useful when handling fragile objects, performing precision tasks, and maintaining stable object manipulation.

Comparative Analysis in Tabular Form

The comparative analysis evaluates conventional prosthetic systems, cloud-based smart prosthetics, embedded myoelectric prosthetics, and the proposed edge computing-based smart prosthetic framework. The comparison focuses on processing latency, EMG signal recognition, sensory feedback, grip-force control, energy efficiency, adaptability, and overall operational performance.

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of Different Prosthetic Technologies

Parameters	Conventional Prosthetics	Cloud-Based Smart Prosthetics	Embedded Myoelectric Prosthetics	Proposed Edge-Based Smart Prosthetics
Control Mechanism	Mechanical/electronic	AI-based remote processing	EMG-based local control	Intelligent EMG-based control
Signal Processing	Basic	Cloud processing	Embedded processing	Edge AI processing
Response Latency	Moderate	Network-dependent	Low	Optimized for low latency
Gesture Recognition	Limited	Advanced	Machine learning-based	Adaptive machine learning
Sensory Feedback	Minimal	Supported	Limited	Integrated haptic feedback
Grip-Force Control	Basic	Intelligent	Sensor-based	Closed-loop intelligent
Internet Dependency	None	High	Low	Minimal
Energy Efficiency	Moderate	Network-dependent	Relatively high	Optimized through lightweight AI
Adaptability	Limited	High	Moderate	High
Real-Time Performance	Limited	Variable	Good	Designed for improved responsiveness
User Interaction	Basic	Advanced	Moderate	Bidirectional
System Complexity	Low	High	Moderate	High

Table 2: Comparative Analysis of Processing Latency

The following values represent illustrative evaluation scenarios for different processing architectures.

Processing Architecture	Average Latency (ms)	Relative Performance
Cloud-Based Processing	185	Higher delay
Hybrid Edge-Cloud Processing	95	Moderate delay
Conventional Embedded Processing	75	Lower delay
Optimized Edge AI Processing	38	Lowest delay

Processing Latency Comparison
Illustrative average latency in milliseconds. Lower values indicate faster processing.
0 ms50 ms100 ms150 ms200 msCloudHybridEmbeddedOptimized Edge AI

Table 3: Comparative Analysis of Machine Learning Algorithms

Algorithm	Illustrative Classification Accuracy	Computational Requirement	Suitability
Support Vector Machine (SVM)	91.2%	Low–Moderate	EMG classification
Random Forest	92.5%	Moderate	Pattern recognition
Artificial Neural Network (ANN)	93.4%	Moderate	Movement classification
Lightweight CNN	95.1%	Moderate–High	Complex EMG patterns
Optimized Edge CNN	94.6%	Low–Moderate after optimization	Real-time prosthetic control

LIMITATIONS & DRAWBACKS

Despite the significant potential of smart prosthetics powered by myoelectric signals and edge computing, several technical, computational, clinical, and practical limitations may affect their implementation and long-term effectiveness. Although the integration of artificial intelligence, embedded processing, and sensory feedback can improve prosthetic functionality, further research and experimental validation are necessary to ensure reliability, affordability, and user acceptance.

5.1 Variability in Myoelectric Signals

One of the major challenges in myoelectric prosthetic systems is the inconsistent nature of electromyographic (EMG) signals. Electrical activity generated by residual muscles can vary depending on muscle fatigue, contraction intensity, perspiration, and electrode positioning. These variations may reduce gesture recognition accuracy and cause unintended prosthetic movements.

5.2 Computational Limitations of Edge Devices

Edge computing reduces dependence on cloud infrastructure, but embedded processors have limited memory, processing capacity, and computational resources compared with high-performance servers. Running complex machine learning algorithms on compact hardware may create difficulties in maintaining high classification accuracy while achieving low latency.

5.3 Power Consumption and Battery Limitations

Smart prosthetic devices require continuous power for sensors, embedded processors, communication modules, and mechanical actuators. Advanced AI processing and continuous sensory feedback can increase energy consumption, reducing battery operating time. Frequent charging may create inconvenience for users during everyday activities.

5.4 Sensory Feedback Complexity

Providing realistic sensory feedback remains a significant challenge. Vibrotactile stimulation can communicate basic information about pressure and contact, but it cannot fully reproduce natural sensations such as texture, temperature, pain, and fine tactile perception. Additionally, individual differences in sensory sensitivity may influence feedback interpretation.

5.5 Mechanical and Hardware Integration Challenges

Integrating EMG electrodes, pressure sensors, force-sensitive components, motor controllers, and haptic actuators into a compact prosthetic structure increases hardware complexity. Maintaining durability, lightweight construction, mechanical stability, and comfortable fitting can be difficult. Sensor degradation and mechanical wear may also affect long-term performance.

CONCLUSION

Smart prosthetics powered by myoelectric signals and edge computing represent a significant advancement in biomedical engineering, assistive robotics, and rehabilitation technology. The integration of electromyographic (EMG) signal processing, artificial intelligence, embedded computing, and sensory feedback offers new opportunities to develop prosthetic devices that are more responsive, intelligent, adaptive, and user-friendly. This research explores an integrated framework that combines muscle-based movement recognition with localized edge processing and bidirectional sensory communication to overcome several limitations of conventional prosthetic systems.

The proposed framework highlights the importance of edge computing in reducing computational latency by processing EMG signals directly within embedded hardware rather than depending entirely on remote cloud servers. Lightweight machine learning algorithms, including Support Vector Machines, Artificial Neural Networks, and optimized

Convolutional Neural Networks, can support accurate recognition of user movement intentions while maintaining computational efficiency. Furthermore, the integration of pressure sensors, force-sensitive components, and vibrotactile actuators provides opportunities to improve grip-force regulation and communicate information about object contact and physical interaction.

The comparative analysis suggests that edge-based processing has the potential to reduce communication overhead, improve operational independence, and support faster prosthetic responses. Similarly, closed-loop sensory feedback mechanisms can enhance object manipulation and reduce dependence on visual monitoring. However, the practical implementation of these technologies remains challenging because of EMG signal variability, hardware limitations, battery consumption, mechanical complexity, manufacturing costs, and differences in individual sensory perception.

REFERENCES

1. Cloutier, A., & Yang, J. (2013). Design, control, and sensory feedback of externally powered hand prostheses: A literature review. Critical Reviews in Biomedical Engineering, 41(2), 161–181. https://doi.org/10.1615/CritRevBiomedEng.2013007887
2. Farina, D., Jiang, N., Rehbaum, H., Holobar, A., Graimann, B., Dietl, H., & Aszmann, O. C. (2014). The extraction of neural information from the surface EMG for the control of upper-limb prostheses: Emerging avenues and challenges. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering, 22(4), 797–809. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE.2014.2305111
3. Fang, Y., Hettiarachchi, N., Zhou, D., & Liu, H. (2015). Multi-modal sensing techniques for interfacing hand prostheses: A review. IEEE Sensors Journal, 15(11), 6065–6076. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2015.2450211
4. Fougner, A., Scheme, E., Chan, A. D. C., Englehart, K., & Côté-Allard, U. (2011). Resolving the limb position effect in myoelectric pattern recognition. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering, 19(6), 644–651.
5. Geethanjali, P. (2016). Myoelectric control of prosthetic hands: State-of-the-art review. Medical Devices: Evidence and Research, 9, 247–255. https://doi.org/10.2147/MDER.S91102
6. Hargrove, L. J., Englehart, K., & Hudgins, B. (2007). A real-time pattern recognition control scheme for multifunction myoelectric hand prostheses. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, 54(11), 1947–1955.
7. Hudgins, B., Parker, P., & Scott, R. N. (1993). A new strategy for multifunction myoelectric control. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, 40(1), 82–94.
8. Jiang, N., Dosen, S., Müller, K. R., & Farina, D. (2012). Myoelectric control of artificial limbs—Is there a need to change focus? IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, 29(5), 150–152.
9. Scheme, E., & Englehart, K. (2011). Electromyogram pattern recognition for control of powered upper-limb prostheses: State of the art and challenges for clinical use. Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development, 48(6), 643–659.
10. Schofield, J. S., Evans, K. R., Carey, J. P., & Hebert, J. S. (2014). Applications of sensory feedback in motorized upper extremity prosthesis: A review. Expert Review of Medical Devices, 11(5), 499–511. https://doi.org/10.1586/17434440.2014.929496
11. Shi, W., Cao, J., Zhang, Q., Li, Y., & Xu, L. (2016). Edge computing: Vision and challenges. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 3(5), 637–646. https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2016.2579198
12. Satyanarayanan, M. (2017). The emergence of edge computing. Computer, 50(1), 30–39. https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2017.9
13. Zecca, M., Micera, S., Carrozza, M. C., & Dario, P. (2002). Control of multifunctional prosthetic hands by processing the electromyographic signal. Critical Reviews in Biomedical Engineering, 30(4–6), 459–485.
14. Young, A. J., Hargrove, L. J., & Kuiken, T. A. (2012). Improving myoelectric pattern recognition robustness to electrode shift by changing interelectrode distance and electrode configuration. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, 59(3), 645–652.
15. Young, A. J., Hargrove, L. J., & Kuiken, T. A. (2013). User training for pattern recognition-based myoelectric prostheses: Improving phantom limb movement consistency and distinguishability. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering, 22(3), 645–651.
16. Raspopovic, S., Capogrosso, M., Petrini, F. M., Bonizzato, M., Rigosa, J., Di Pino, G., Carpaneto, J., Controzzi, M., Boretius, T., Fernandez, E., Granata, G., Oddo, C. M., Citi, L., Ciancio, A. L., Cipriani, C., Carrozza, M. C., Jensen, W., Guglielmelli, E., Stieglitz, T., ... Micera, S. (2014). Restoring natural sensory feedback in real-time bidirectional hand prostheses. Science Translational Medicine, 6(222), 222ra19. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3006820
17. Oddo, C. M., Raspopovic, S., Artoni, F., Mazzoni, A., Spigler, G., Petrini, F., Giambattistelli, F., Vecchio, F., Miraglia, F., Zollo, L., Di Pino, G., Camboni, D., Carrozza, M. C., & Micera, S. (2016). Intraneural stimulation elicits discrimination of textural features by artificial fingertip in intact and amputee humans. eLife, 5, e09148. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.09148
18. Schiefer, M., Tan, D., Sidek, S. M., & Tyler, D. J. (2018). Sensory feedback by peripheral nerve stimulation improves task performance in individuals with upper limb loss using a myoelectric prosthesis. Journal of Neural Engineering, 15(3), 036001.

19. Valle, G., Mazzoni, A., Iberite, F., D'Anna, E., Strauss, I., Granata, G., Controzzi, M., Clemente, F., Rognini, G., Cipriani, C., Stieglitz, T., Petrini, F. M., & Micera, S. (2018). Biomimetic intraneural sensory feedback enhances sensation naturalness, tactile sensitivity, and manual dexterity in a bidirectional prosthesis. Neuron, 100(1), 37–45.e7.
20. D'Anna, E., Petrini, F. M., Artoni, F., Popovic, I., Simanić, I., Raspopovic, S., & Micera, S. (2017). A somatotopic bidirectional hand prosthesis with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation based sensory feedback. Scientific Reports, 7, 10930.