International Journal of Research and Review Techniques (IJRRT), ISSN: 3006-1075
Volume 4, Issue 3, July-September, 2025, Available online at: https://ijrrt.com

Biomimetic Architectural Envelopes: Kinetic Facades Inspired by Plant Thigmonasty for Passive Climate Control

Mateo Rossi

Lead Researcher, Laboratory for Biomimetic Structures and Building Physics, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

ABSTRACT

The increasing demand for energy-efficient and sustainable buildings has encouraged the development of innovative architectural solutions inspired by biological systems. Biomimetic architecture, which imitates the structural, functional, and adaptive mechanisms of living organisms, offers significant opportunities for improving building performance while reducing environmental impacts. This study explores the concept of biomimetic architectural envelopes through the development of kinetic facades inspired by plant thigmonasty, a biological movement response in which plants exhibit rapid or stimulus-driven movements in response to external mechanical stimuli. Examples such as Mimosa pudica and carnivorous plants demonstrate remarkable adaptive mechanisms that can serve as models for responsive building envelope systems.

The proposed approach investigates how plant-inspired kinetic facade systems can respond dynamically to environmental conditions, including solar radiation, temperature, wind intensity, and humidity, to regulate indoor thermal comfort and reduce dependence on mechanical heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning (HVAC) systems. The study considers the integration of responsive materials, smart actuators, sensor-based control mechanisms, and lightweight adaptive shading components into architectural facades. These systems are designed to modify their geometry, orientation, and opening configurations according to changing climatic conditions, thereby optimizing daylight penetration, solar heat gain, natural ventilation, and energy consumption.

Furthermore, the research proposes a comparative assessment of conventional static facades and biomimetic kinetic envelope systems using parameters such as thermal performance, energy efficiency, adaptive responsiveness, occupant comfort, material sustainability, and operational complexity. The proposed framework highlights the potential of bio-inspired architectural systems to establish a dynamic relationship between buildings and their surrounding environments. It also examines the challenges associated with mechanical durability, material selection, maintenance requirements, and large-scale implementation. The study concludes that thigmonasty-inspired kinetic facades represent a promising direction for climate-responsive architecture, offering opportunities to develop intelligent, energy-efficient, and environmentally sustainable buildings through passive climate control and nature-inspired design principles.

Keywords: Biomimetic Architecture; Kinetic Facades; Plant Thigmonasty; Passive Climate Control; Adaptive Building Envelopes.

INTRODUCTION

The growing challenges of climate change, global energy consumption, and environmental degradation have increased the need for sustainable and energy-efficient architectural solutions. Buildings are among the major contributors to global energy demand, particularly due to heating, ventilation, air-conditioning, and artificial lighting requirements. Conventional architectural envelopes, including walls, windows, and static shading devices, often provide limited adaptability to continuously changing environmental conditions. Consequently, there is an increasing interest in developing intelligent and responsive building envelope systems capable of adjusting their physical characteristics according to external climatic variations. Biomimetic architecture has emerged as a promising approach in this context by translating biological principles and natural adaptation strategies into innovative architectural technologies.

Biomimicry involves studying natural organisms, structures, and processes to develop solutions to complex engineering and design challenges. Throughout millions of years of evolution, plants and other living organisms have developed sophisticated mechanisms for responding to environmental stimuli, including sunlight, temperature, humidity, gravity, and mechanical contact. These biological adaptations provide valuable inspiration for designing buildings that can interact dynamically with their surroundings. In particular, plant movement mechanisms offer significant opportunities for developing adaptive architectural facades that regulate solar exposure, ventilation, and thermal conditions without relying entirely on energy-intensive mechanical systems.

One particularly interesting biological phenomenon is thigmonasty, which refers to non-directional plant movement triggered by mechanical stimulation, such as touch, pressure, or vibration. Unlike tropic movements, which depend on the direction of an external stimulus, thigmonastic responses are generally determined by the presence of stimulation rather than its direction. The sensitive plant (Mimosa pudica) provides a well-known example of this phenomenon, demonstrating rapid leaf folding when touched. Similarly, certain carnivorous plants, including the Venus flytrap (Dionaea muscipula), exhibit rapid structural movements in response to mechanical stimulation. These biological mechanisms demonstrate how natural systems can achieve responsive movement through specialized structures, fluid-pressure variations, and coordinated cellular processes.

PROPOSED MODELS AND METHODOLOGIES

The proposed research develops a biomimetic architectural envelope inspired by plant thigmonasty, focusing on the ability of biological structures to respond dynamically to external stimuli. The framework translates plant movement mechanisms into adaptive kinetic facade components capable of regulating solar radiation, heat transfer, daylight, and natural ventilation.

The proposed system integrates three major components:

- Biological inspiration: Movement mechanisms observed in Mimosa pudica and other stimulus-responsive plants.
- Responsive architectural components: Flexible facade panels, articulated shading elements, and adaptive surface materials.
- Environmental control mechanisms: Sensors, actuators, and intelligent control algorithms for regulating facade movements.

The framework aims to develop a responsive building envelope that minimizes energy consumption while maintaining comfortable indoor environmental conditions.

Responsive Material-Based Facade Model

The second model focuses on the integration of smart materials capable of responding to environmental stimuli without requiring complex mechanical assemblies.

The proposed materials include:

- Shape-memory alloys (SMAs): Enable movement through temperature-dependent shape recovery.
- Thermoresponsive polymers: Change their physical properties in response to temperature variations.
- Hygroscopic materials: Expand or contract according to changes in humidity.
- Flexible composites: Provide lightweight structural components for repeated kinetic movements.
- Pneumatic materials: Enable controlled expansion and contraction through air-pressure variations.

These materials can be incorporated into facade systems to achieve adaptive movement with reduced mechanical complexity. Particular attention is given to passive or low-energy actuation strategies to minimize operational electricity requirements.

Sensor-Based Intelligent Control Model

The third model introduces an intelligent environmental monitoring and control system that coordinates facade movements according to real-time climatic conditions.

The proposed system utilizes multiple sensors to collect environmental information, including:

- Solar radiation intensity.
- Outdoor and indoor temperature.
- Relative humidity.
- Wind speed and direction.
- Indoor daylight intensity.
- Indoor thermal comfort conditions.

The collected information is processed through a microcontroller or building management system. Based on predefined environmental thresholds, the controller determines the appropriate facade configuration.

Machine-learning algorithms may also be incorporated to predict environmental variations and optimize facade movement schedules.

Proposed Integrated System Architecture

The proposed architectural system consists of five interconnected operational layers.

Layer	Components	Primary Function
Biological inspiration	Thigmonastic plant mechanisms	Provides adaptive movement principles
Sensing layer	Temperature, humidity, light and wind sensors	Monitors environmental conditions
Processing layer	Microcontrollers and intelligent algorithms	Evaluates environmental data
Actuation layer	SMAs, motors and flexible actuators	Controls facade movement
Performance layer	Shading, ventilation and thermal regulation	Improves building energy efficiency

RESULTS & ANALYSIS

1. Overview of Results
The proposed study on Biomimetic Architectural Envelopes: Kinetic Facades Inspired by Plant Thigmonasty for Passive Climate Control evaluates the potential of plant-inspired adaptive facade systems to improve building energy efficiency, thermal comfort, and environmental responsiveness.

The analysis focuses on the comparison between conventional static facades, mechanically operated kinetic facades, and thigmonasty-inspired adaptive facade systems. The major performance indicators include solar heat gain, indoor temperature regulation, cooling energy consumption, daylight availability, ventilation performance, and adaptive response time.

The proposed framework suggests that biologically inspired kinetic facades can provide improved environmental adaptability by modifying their physical configuration according to changing climatic conditions.

Note: The following results are illustrative research projections for the proposed framework, not measurements from a completed experiment.

2. Thermal Performance Analysis
Thermal performance is one of the most important parameters for evaluating adaptive architectural envelopes. Conventional facades generally maintain fixed configurations regardless of changes in outdoor temperature and solar radiation.

In contrast, thigmonasty-inspired kinetic facades can adjust their opening angles and shading configurations to regulate the amount of solar energy entering the building.

The proposed analysis indicates that adaptive facade configurations may:
- Reduce excessive solar heat gain during high-temperature periods.
- Improve indoor temperature stability.
- Minimize overheating near windows and glazed surfaces.
- Support passive thermal regulation.
- Reduce dependence on mechanical cooling systems.

The ability to modify facade geometry according to environmental conditions represents a significant advantage over conventional static envelope systems.

3. Energy Efficiency Analysis
Energy efficiency is evaluated by considering the potential reduction in heating, cooling, and artificial lighting requirements.

The proposed kinetic facade system uses adaptive shading to control direct solar radiation while maintaining sufficient natural daylight. Responsive materials may further reduce the electricity required for facade operation.

Illustrative Annual Building Energy Consumption

Hypothetical comparison of three facade configurations; values are assumed for methodological illustration.
0 kWh/m²/year50 kWh/m²/year100 kWh/m²/year150 kWh/m²/year200 kWh/m²/yearStatic facadeMechanical kineticThigmonasty-inspired

The illustrative values indicate a potential reduction in annual energy consumption from 180 kWh/m²/year for a conventional facade to 125 kWh/m²/year for the proposed biomimetic system.

The corresponding estimated energy saving is:
\[E_s=\frac{180-125}{180}\times100 \]
\[E_s=30.56\% \]
This represents a hypothetical energy-saving potential of approximately 30.56%. Actual savings would depend on climatic conditions, building orientation, facade materials, control strategies, and actuation energy.

4. Solar Radiation and Shading Performance
The adaptive shading mechanism is designed to respond to variations in solar intensity. During periods of high solar radiation, the facade panels move toward a partially closed configuration, reducing direct sunlight penetration.

During lower solar radiation periods, the panels can open to improve daylight availability and allow beneficial solar heat gain.
Smart Facade Controls Heat like a Plant and Insect - Scitke - Science and Technology
Modernizing the mashrabiya: Smart-skinned Al Bahar Towers near completion
8 зданий с изменяемыми фасадами | РБК Недвижимость
14

The expected performance improvements include:

1. Better control of direct solar radiation.
2. Reduced glare in interior spaces.
3. Improved daylight distribution.
4. Lower solar heat gain during peak summer conditions.
5. Greater adaptability to seasonal climatic variations.

5. Indoor Thermal Comfort Analysis
Indoor thermal comfort is evaluated through indoor air temperature, relative humidity, radiant temperature, and air movement.

The proposed facade system is expected to contribute to more stable indoor environmental conditions by reducing excessive heat accumulation and supporting controlled natural ventilation.

Performance Parameter	Static Facade	Mechanical Kinetic Facade	Proposed Biomimetic Facade
Solar heat regulation	Limited	High	High potential
Indoor temperature stability	Moderate	High	High potential
Glare control	Limited	Adjustable	Adaptive
Natural ventilation	Fixed openings	Adjustable	Adaptive
Seasonal responsiveness	Low	Moderate	High potential

The results suggest that the integration of responsive facade elements can improve the relationship between outdoor climatic conditions and indoor environmental comfort.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS IN TABULAR FORM

The comparative analysis evaluates three major architectural envelope systems: conventional static facades, mechanically operated kinetic facades, and biomimetic kinetic facades inspired by plant thigmonasty. The comparison focuses on energy efficiency, thermal regulation, adaptive responsiveness, material performance, sustainability, operational complexity, and economic feasibility.

Table 1. Comparative Analysis of Architectural Facade Systems

Parameters	Conventional Static Facade	Mechanical Kinetic Facade	Thigmonasty-Inspired Facade
Design principle	Fixed architectural structure	Mechanically controlled movement	Plant-inspired adaptive movement
Environmental responsiveness	Very low	High	High potential
Solar shading	Fixed shading	Adjustable shading	Adaptive shading
Thermal regulation	Limited	Improved	Potentially optimized
Natural ventilation	Fixed openings	Adjustable openings	Responsive openings
Daylight control	Limited	Dynamic	Adaptive
Energy efficiency	Moderate	High	High potential
Mechanical complexity	Low	High	Moderate to high
Material flexibility	Conventional materials	Flexible and mechanical materials	Smart and bio-inspired materials
Maintenance requirements	Low	High	Dependent on material and mechanism
Sustainability potential	Moderate	High	High
Climate adaptability	Limited	Good	High potential

Table 2. Comparative Energy Performance

The following values represent hypothetical research projections for comparing the three facade configurations.

Performance Indicators	Static Facade	Mechanical Kinetic Facade	Biomimetic Kinetic Facade
Annual energy consumption (kWh/m²/year)	180	150	125
Energy savings (%)	0	16.67	30.56
Cooling demand	High	Moderate	Potentially low
Solar heat gain control	Limited	Good	High potential
Artificial lighting demand	Moderate	Reduced	Potentially reduced
Passive climate control	Limited	Moderate	High potential

Table 3. Comparison of Biomimetic Materials and Technologies

Material/Technology	Working Principle	Advantages	Limitations
Shape-memory alloys	Temperature-induced shape recovery	Compact and controlled movement	Material fatigue and cost
Thermoresponsive polymers	Temperature-dependent deformation	Lightweight and adaptable	Limited durability in some formulations
Hygroscopic materials	Moisture-induced expansion and contraction	Potentially passive operation	Sensitive to humidity variations
Pneumatic actuators	Pressure-driven movement	Flexible movement and control	Requires pressure supply
Electric actuators	Motor-driven movement	Accurate positioning	Electrical energy and maintenance
Flexible composites	Elastic deformation	Lightweight structural components	Long-term fatigue concerns

Table 4. Biological Inspiration and Architectural Applications

Biological System	Natural Response	Biomimetic Design Principle	Architectural Application
Mimosa pudica	Leaf folding following touch	Stimulus-responsive folding	Folding kinetic facade
Venus flytrap	Rapid trap closure	Rapid structural movement	Responsive shading panels
Pine cones	Moisture-dependent scale movement	Hygroscopic deformation	Passive ventilation systems
Sunflower	Light-related orientation responses	Adaptive orientation	Solar-responsive facade elements
Water lily leaves	Structural adaptation to environmental conditions	Flexible surface geometry	Lightweight adaptive roofing

Table 5. Overall Performance Evaluation

Evaluation Criteria	Static Facade	Mechanical Kinetic Facade	Thigmonasty-Inspired Facade
Initial construction complexity	Low	High	Moderate to high
Operational energy requirements	HVAC dependent	HVAC and actuation dependent	Potentially lower actuation demand
Adaptive movement	None	Motor-controlled	Material-based or actuator-controlled
Solar heat management	Fixed	Dynamic	Dynamic
Occupant comfort potential	Moderate	High	High
Environmental adaptability	Low	High	High
Long-term reliability	Generally established	Depends on mechanical components	Requires further validation
Commercial scalability	High	Moderate to high	Requires further development
Research potential	Established	Advanced	Emerging

Table 6. Advantages and Limitations of Proposed Facade Systems

Facade System	Major Advantages	Major Limitations
Conventional Static Facade	Simple design, low maintenance, established construction methods	Limited environmental adaptability and fixed shading
Mechanical Kinetic Facade	Precise movement, flexible shading, automated operation	High installation costs, actuator maintenance, and energy requirements
Thigmonasty-Inspired Facade	Nature-inspired movement, potential passive operation, adaptive climate control	Material durability, response consistency, and large-scale implementation challenges

Interpretation of Comparative Findings
The comparative analysis highlights the following observations:

1. Energy Efficiency: The proposed biomimetic facade demonstrates the highest projected energy-saving potential among the three configurations, with an illustrative saving of 30.56% compared with the static facade.

2. Environmental Responsiveness: Unlike conventional facades, biomimetic kinetic systems can modify their configurations according to changing environmental conditions.

3. Material Innovation: Smart materials, including shape-memory alloys, hygroscopic materials, and thermoresponsive polymers, provide opportunities for developing low-energy adaptive components.

4. Thermal Comfort: Dynamic shading and controlled ventilation can potentially improve indoor thermal conditions and reduce overheating.

5. Sustainability: The proposed system offers opportunities to reduce operational energy consumption, although material manufacturing and maintenance impacts must also be considered.

6. Implementation Challenges: Mechanical reliability, construction costs, material fatigue, and long-term performance remain important considerations for practical applications.

Conclusion of Comparative Analysis

The comparative assessment demonstrates that biomimetic architectural envelopes inspired by plant thigmonasty offer a promising alternative to conventional static and mechanically operated facade systems. Their ability to combine biological movement principles, responsive materials, and intelligent environmental control creates opportunities for improved passive climate regulation.

Although conventional facades remain economically practical and mechanically operated kinetic facades offer established forms of dynamic control, thigmonasty-inspired systems provide considerable potential for developing adaptive, energy-efficient, and environmentally responsive buildings.

The proposed approach therefore represents an emerging direction in sustainable architectural design, with further experimental validation, long-term durability assessment, and climatic performance optimization required before widespread implementation.

LIMITATIONS & DRAWBACKS

Despite the promising potential of biomimetic architectural envelopes inspired by plant thigmonasty, several technical, operational, economic, and environmental challenges may affect their practical implementation. Although these systems offer opportunities for passive climate control, adaptive shading, and improved building energy efficiency, their effectiveness depends on material properties, environmental conditions, mechanical reliability, and architectural integration.

1. High Initial Construction Costs

The development of biomimetic kinetic facades requires specialized materials, responsive components, sensors, actuators, and advanced control technologies. These requirements may increase initial design, manufacturing, and installation costs compared with conventional static facade systems. The economic feasibility of large-scale implementation may therefore be limited, particularly in low-cost residential and commercial construction projects.

2. Material Durability and Fatigue

Responsive materials such as shape-memory alloys, thermoresponsive polymers, and flexible composites may experience material fatigue after repeated cycles of folding, expansion, contraction, and deformation. Continuous exposure to ultraviolet radiation, temperature fluctuations, moisture, and environmental pollutants may further affect their structural integrity and operational lifespan.

3. Complex Mechanical Integration

Translating biological movement mechanisms into architectural structures involves considerable engineering complexity. The integration of flexible panels, hinges, actuators, supporting structures, and control systems requires careful design and coordination. Improper mechanical integration may result in restricted movement, structural instability, or inefficient facade operation.

4. Environmental Sensitivity and Response Limitations

Plant thigmonasty is naturally adapted to specific biological conditions and stimuli. However, architectural environments involve multiple interacting factors, including temperature, humidity, wind pressure, solar radiation, and rainfall. Replicating biological responses under such diverse conditions may be challenging. Furthermore, passive materials may not provide consistent movement under rapidly changing or extreme climatic conditions.

5. Maintenance and Operational Requirements

Kinetic facade systems contain moving components that require periodic inspection, cleaning, calibration, and maintenance. Dust accumulation, moisture penetration, corrosion, actuator malfunction, and mechanical wear may reduce system performance over time. These maintenance requirements may increase long-term operational costs compared with conventional building envelopes.

CONCLUSION

The study on “Biomimetic Architectural Envelopes: Kinetic Facades Inspired by Plant Thigmonasty for Passive Climate Control” highlights the potential of nature-inspired architectural design to transform conventional building envelopes into intelligent, adaptive, and environmentally responsive systems. By examining the movement mechanisms of plants such as Mimosa pudica and the Venus flytrap, the research establishes a biological foundation for developing kinetic facade systems capable of responding to changing environmental conditions. These natural movement principles provide valuable inspiration for designing architectural components that can fold, expand, contract, and adjust their configurations according to external stimuli.

The proposed biomimetic framework integrates responsive materials, flexible facade structures, environmental sensors, and intelligent control mechanisms to regulate solar radiation, daylight penetration, natural ventilation, and indoor thermal conditions. The integration of shape-memory alloys, thermoresponsive polymers, hygroscopic materials, and flexible composites offers opportunities for developing adaptive facade components with reduced dependence on conventional mechanical systems. Such technologies can contribute to minimizing excessive solar heat gain, improving indoor thermal comfort, and reducing building cooling requirements.

The comparative analysis indicates that thigmonasty-inspired kinetic facades may offer advantages over conventional static facades and conventional mechanically operated shading systems in terms of environmental adaptability and passive climate regulation. The illustrative energy-performance assessment suggests a potential energy-saving improvement of approximately 30.56% compared with the assumed conventional facade baseline. However, this figure represents a hypothetical projection and requires validation through experimental testing, computational simulations, and real-world building applications.

Despite these advantages, several challenges must be addressed before widespread implementation. High initial construction costs, material fatigue, mechanical complexity, maintenance requirements, structural safety, and limited commercial scalability remain important concerns. Future research should therefore prioritize the development of durable smart materials, low-energy actuation mechanisms, modular facade structures, and advanced predictive control systems. Long-term experimental investigations and life-cycle assessments will also be essential for establishing the environmental and economic feasibility of these technologies.

Furthermore, the integration of artificial intelligence, Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, and digital building management systems could enhance the responsiveness and operational reliability of biomimetic architectural envelopes. These technologies may enable buildings to anticipate environmental changes and automatically adjust their facade configurations to maintain appropriate indoor conditions.

REFERENCES

1. Addington, D. M., & Schodek, D. L. (2005). Smart materials and new technologies: For the architecture and design professions. Architectural Press.
2. Badarnah, L. (2017). Form follows environment: Biomimetic approaches to building envelope design for environmental adaptation. Buildings, 7(2), 40. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings7020040
3. Badarnah, L., & Kadri, U. (2015). A methodology for the generation of biomimetic design concepts. Architectural Science Review, 58(2), 120–133. https://doi.org/10.1080/00038628.2014.922458
4. Benyus, J. M. (1997). Biomimicry: Innovation inspired by nature. William Morrow.
5. Bhushan, B. (2009). Biomimetics: Lessons from nature—An overview. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 367(1893), 1445–1486. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2009.0011
6. Darwin, C., & Darwin, F. (1880). The power of movement in plants. John Murray.
7. Forterre, Y. (2013). Slow, fast and furious: Understanding the physics of plant movements. Journal of Experimental Botany, 64(15), 4745–4760. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert230
8. Fox, M., & Kemp, M. (2009). Interactive architecture. Princeton Architectural Press.
9. Hays, N., Badarnah, L., & Jain, A. (2024). Biomimetic design of building facades: An evolutionary-based computational approach inspired by elephant skin for cooling in hot and humid climates. Frontiers in Built Environment, 10, 1309621. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbuil.2024.1309621
10. Hosseini, S. M., Mohammadi, M., Rosemann, A., Schröder, T., & Lichtenberg, J. (2019). A morphological approach for kinetic façade design process to improve visual and thermal comfort: Review. Building and Environment, 153, 186–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2019.02.040
11. Knaack, U., Klein, T., Bilow, M., & Auer, T. (2007). Facades: Principles of construction. Birkhäuser.
12. Kolarevic, B., & Parlac, V. (Eds.). (2015). Building dynamics: Exploring architecture of change. Routledge.
13. Loonen, R. C. G. M., Trčka, M., Cóstola, D., & Hensen, J. L. M. (2013). Climate adaptive building shells: State-of-the-art and future challenges. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 25, 483–493. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.04.016
14. Ortega Del Rosario, M. D. L. Á., Beermann, K., & Chen Austin, M. (2023). Environmentally responsive materials for building envelopes: A review on manufacturing and biomimicry-based approaches. Biomimetics, 8(1), 52. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomimetics8010052
15. Pawlyn, M. (2011). Biomimicry in architecture. RIBA Publishing.
16. Sommese, F., Badarnah, L., & Ausiello, G. (2022). A critical review of biomimetic building envelopes: Towards a bio-adaptive model from nature to architecture. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 169, 112850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.112850
17. Sommese, F., Badarnah, L., & Ausiello, G. (2023). Smart materials for biomimetic building envelopes: Current trends and potential applications. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.113847

18. Vazquez, E., Correa, D., & Poppinga, S. (2024). A review of and taxonomy for elastic kinetic building envelopes. Journal of Building Engineering, 82, 108227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2023.108227
19. Verbrugghe, N., Rubinacci, E., & Khan, A. Z. (2023). Biomimicry in architecture: A review of definitions, case studies, and design methods. Biomimetics, 8(1), 107. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomimetics8010107
20. Vincent, J. F. V., Bogatyreva, O. A., Bogatyrev, N. R., Bowyer, A., & Pahl, A.-K. (2006). Biomimetics: Its practice and theory. Journal of the Royal Society Interface, 3(9), 471–482. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2006.0127