International Journal of Research and Review Techniques (IJRRT), ISSN: 3006-1075
Volume 5, Issue 3, July-September, 2026, Available online at: https://ijrrt.com

Microplastics in Freshwater Ecosystems: Trophic Transfer, Biomagnification, and Implications for Human Gut Microbiomes

Dr. Mira Lindholm

Assistant Professor, Department of Aquatic Ecotoxicology, Uppsala University, Sweden

ABSTRACT

Microplastic pollution has emerged as a significant environmental challenge, particularly in freshwater ecosystems, where the continuous accumulation of plastic particles threatens aquatic biodiversity, ecosystem stability, and potentially human health. Microplastics, generally defined as plastic particles smaller than 5 mm, originate from the fragmentation of larger plastic materials and the direct release of synthetic particles from industrial, agricultural, domestic, and urban sources. Rivers, lakes, reservoirs, and freshwater wetlands act as important pathways and reservoirs for microplastic contamination, facilitating their uptake by aquatic organisms and subsequent movement through food webs. This study examines the ecological dynamics of microplastic contamination, emphasizing trophic transfer, biomagnification potential, and possible implications for human gut microbiomes.

The investigation explores how microplastics are ingested by primary producers, zooplankton, benthic invertebrates, fish, and higher-level aquatic consumers, resulting in their transfer across different trophic levels. Particular attention is given to particle size, shape, polymer composition, surface characteristics, and associated chemical contaminants that influence ingestion, retention, accumulation, and biological effects. Although trophic transfer of microplastics is well documented, evidence of consistent biomagnification across freshwater food webs remains inconclusive, as particle retention, egestion, and organism-specific feeding behaviours influence accumulation patterns. Furthermore, contaminated freshwater organisms may contribute to human exposure through fish consumption and other aquatic food resources.

Keywords: Microplastic Pollution, Freshwater Ecosystems, Trophic Transfer, Biomagnification, Human Gut Microbiome

INTRODUCTION

Microplastic pollution has become one of the most pressing environmental challenges of the twenty-first century, attracting increasing scientific attention because of its widespread occurrence, persistence, and potential impacts on ecological and human health. Microplastics are generally defined as plastic particles smaller than 5 mm in size, including fragments, fibres, films, beads, and pellets. These particles originate from the degradation of larger plastic products, synthetic textiles, industrial activities, personal care products, agricultural applications, and improper waste disposal. Due to their small size, chemical stability, and resistance to natural degradation, microplastics can persist in the environment for extended periods and accumulate in different ecological compartments.

Freshwater ecosystems, including rivers, lakes, ponds, reservoirs, and wetlands, represent important pathways and accumulation zones for microplastic contamination. Rivers transport plastic particles from urban, industrial, and agricultural areas into lakes, reservoirs, and eventually marine environments. Wastewater treatment plant discharges, stormwater runoff, landfill leachates, industrial effluents, and agricultural drainage are among the major sources of freshwater microplastic pollution. Their distribution is influenced by hydrological conditions, sediment characteristics, water flow, particle density, and environmental interactions. Consequently, microplastics can be detected in surface water, sediments, aquatic vegetation, and various freshwater organisms.

One of the major ecological concerns associated with microplastic contamination is its entry into aquatic food webs through ingestion by organisms at different trophic levels. Primary consumers, including zooplankton and benthic invertebrates, may ingest microplastics because of their resemblance to natural food particles. These contaminated organisms can subsequently be consumed by small fish and other aquatic predators, facilitating the transfer of plastic particles across trophic levels. This process is commonly described as trophic transfer. Although the transfer of microplastics between organisms has been demonstrated experimentally and in natural ecosystems, their consistent biomagnification across freshwater food chains remains scientifically uncertain. Differences in particle retention, ingestion rates, egestion, feeding behaviour, and physiological characteristics influence the accumulation patterns observed among aquatic species.

Microplastics may also affect freshwater organisms through several biological and physiological mechanisms. Their ingestion can cause physical irritation, intestinal blockage, reduced feeding efficiency, oxidative stress, inflammatory responses, and changes in energy metabolism. Furthermore, microplastic particles contain chemical additives, including plasticizers, stabilizers, pigments, and flame retardants, which may be released during environmental degradation or digestion. Their surfaces can also adsorb environmental contaminants, including heavy metals and persistent organic pollutants. These interactions may increase the complexity of microplastic toxicity and create additional risks for organisms exposed to contaminated water and food sources.

An emerging area of concern is the potential relationship between microplastic exposure and the human gastrointestinal microbiome. The human gut microbiome consists of a complex community of bacteria, fungi, viruses, and other microorganisms that contribute to digestion, nutrient metabolism, immune regulation, and intestinal health. Human exposure to microplastics may occur through contaminated drinking water, seafood, freshwater fish, agricultural products, and other dietary sources. Following ingestion, microplastic particles may interact with gastrointestinal tissues and resident microorganisms. Potential biological consequences include changes in microbial diversity, disruption of intestinal barrier function, oxidative stress, inflammatory responses, and alterations in microbial metabolic activities. However, the extent to which environmentally relevant microplastic exposure directly alters the human gut microbiome remains an important research question requiring further investigation.

PROPOSED MODELS AND METHODOLOGIES

The proposed research methodology focuses on developing an integrated environmental and biological assessment framework to investigate microplastic contamination in freshwater ecosystems, its transfer through aquatic food webs, its biomagnification potential, and its possible implications for human gut microbiomes. The framework combines field-based environmental monitoring, laboratory experiments, analytical characterization, ecological modelling, and microbiome analysis. The overall objective is to establish relationships between microplastic distribution, organism-level exposure, trophic transfer, and potential gastrointestinal biological responses.

2.1 Integrated Microplastic Assessment Model

An Integrated Microplastic Assessment Model (IMAM) is proposed to examine the movement and accumulation of microplastics across freshwater environmental compartments.
The model incorporates four major components:

1. Environmental contamination: Identification and quantification of microplastics in freshwater, sediments, and aquatic vegetation.
2. Biological uptake: Assessment of microplastic ingestion by plankton, benthic invertebrates, and freshwater fish.
3. Trophic transfer: Evaluation of particle movement between prey and predator organisms.
4. Human exposure assessment: Estimation of potential dietary exposure through contaminated freshwater organisms and drinking water.

The model considers environmental variables such as temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, water flow, sediment composition, and seasonal variation.

2.2 Freshwater Microplastic Distribution Model

This model investigates the spatial and temporal distribution of microplastics in different freshwater ecosystems.
Sampling locations may include:

- Urban rivers affected by domestic wastewater.
- Lakes receiving agricultural runoff.
- Reservoirs influenced by industrial activities.
- Wetlands exposed to municipal waste discharge.
- Relatively undisturbed freshwater reference sites.

Water and sediment samples are collected using standardized sampling procedures. Microplastic particles are separated through filtration, density separation, and digestion of organic matter.

The abundance of microplastics can be expressed as:
$$MP_A=\frac{N}{V}$$
Where:

- MP_A = Microplastic abundance.
- N = Number of identified microplastic particles.
- V = Volume of water sampled.

For sediment samples, abundance can be expressed as particles per kilogram of dry sediment.
This model helps identify pollution hotspots and evaluate the influence of anthropogenic activities on microplastic distribution.

2.3 Trophic Transfer and Food-Web Interaction Model

The trophic transfer model evaluates the movement of microplastics from lower to higher trophic levels.
The proposed food-web structure includes:

- Primary producers: Phytoplankton and aquatic plants.
- Primary consumers: Zooplankton and small aquatic invertebrates.
- Secondary consumers: Insect larvae and small fish.
- Higher-level consumers: Predatory freshwater fish.

Food Web Maker — Build Ecology Food Chain Diagrams | SciFig
scifig.ai
Microplastic transfer is evaluated by examining particle ingestion, retention, egestion, and biological interactions between prey and predators.
A simplified trophic transfer efficiency model is expressed as:
\[TTE=\frac{MP_{predator}}{MP_{prey}}\times100 \]
Where:

- \(TTE\) = Trophic transfer efficiency.
- \(MP_{predator}\) = Microplastic burden transferred to the predator.
- \(MP_{prey}\) = Microplastic burden in the prey organism.

The model also considers differences in feeding behaviour, particle size, polymer composition, and gastrointestinal retention time.

2.4 Bioaccumulation and Biomagnification Assessment Model

This model distinguishes between bioaccumulation within individual organisms and biomagnification across trophic levels.
The Bioaccumulation Factor (BAF) can be estimated as:
\[BAF=\frac{C_{organism}}{C_{water}} \]
Where:

- \(C_{organism}\) = Microplastic concentration or burden in the organism.
- \(C_{water}\) = Corresponding concentration in the surrounding water.

For trophic-level comparisons, a biomagnification assessment can be performed using:
\[TMF=10^b \]
Where \(b\) represents the regression slope between the logarithm of microplastic burden and trophic position.
A trophic magnification factor greater than 1 may indicate increasing burden with trophic position, whereas a value below 1 suggests decreasing burden. However, this interpretation requires consistent exposure metrics and careful consideration of particle retention and egestion.
The proposed assessment will examine whether microplastic accumulation increases, decreases, or remains relatively stable across different trophic levels.

2.5 Microplastic Characterization and Analytical Methodology

Advanced analytical techniques are proposed to identify the physical and chemical characteristics of microplastics.

Analytical technique	Application
Optical microscopy	Initial identification and particle counting
Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	Polymer identification
Raman spectroscopy	Identification of small microplastic particles
Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	Surface morphology and structural characterization
Pyrolysis-GC/MS	Polymer mass and chemical composition analysis
Dynamic light scattering (DLS)	Characterization of suitable nanoscale particle suspensions

The study will classify particles according to size, shape, colour, and polymer type. Major polymer categories may include polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), polystyrene (PS), polyethylene terephthalate (PET), and polyvinyl chloride (PVC).

Quality assurance procedures will include procedural blanks, contamination-controlled laboratory conditions, recovery tests, and standardized sample handling.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS IN TABULAR FORM

The comparative analysis of microplastics in freshwater ecosystems provides an understanding of their environmental distribution, biological uptake, trophic transfer, accumulation patterns, and potential implications for human gut

microbiomes. Different freshwater organisms exhibit varying levels of microplastic exposure depending on their feeding behaviour, habitat, trophic position, particle characteristics, and physiological responses. The following tables compare major environmental compartments, trophic groups, analytical approaches, and potential biological effects.

Table 1. Comparative Distribution of Microplastics in Freshwater Ecosystems

Environmental compartment	Major sources	Common microplastic forms	Potential ecological effects
Rivers	Urban runoff, wastewater, industries	Fibres, fragments, films	Transport and redistribution of pollutants
Lakes	Atmospheric deposition, domestic waste	Fragments, fibres, beads	Long-term particle accumulation
Reservoirs	Agricultural runoff, plastic waste	Films, fragments	Exposure of aquatic organisms
Wetlands	Wastewater discharge, agricultural drainage	Fibres, pellets	Sediment contamination and habitat disturbance
Freshwater sediments	Settling particles and degraded plastics	Fragments, pellets	Exposure of benthic organisms

Table 2. Comparative Analysis of Microplastic Trophic Transfer

Trophic level	Representative organisms	Exposure pathway	Potential biological effects
Primary producers	Phytoplankton, aquatic plants	Direct contact and particle association	Changes in growth and photosynthetic activity
Primary consumers	Zooplankton, small invertebrates	Ingestion of suspended particles	Reduced feeding efficiency and digestive stress
Secondary consumers	Aquatic insect larvae, small fish	Consumption of contaminated prey	Gastrointestinal accumulation and oxidative stress
Tertiary consumers	Predatory freshwater fish	Consumption of contaminated organisms	Dietary exposure and tissue interactions
Human consumers	People consuming freshwater fish	Dietary ingestion	Potential gastrointestinal and microbiome interactions

Table 3. Comparative Assessment of Bioaccumulation and Biomagnification

Parameter	Bioaccumulation	Biomagnification
Definition	Accumulation of contaminants within an organism	Increase in contaminant burden across successive trophic levels
Main mechanism	Ingestion and retention	Transfer through predator–prey relationships
Biological scale	Individual organism	Food-web level
Influencing factors	Exposure duration, particle size, ingestion, egestion	Trophic position, prey contamination, retention and feeding behaviour
Assessment method	Organism-to-environment concentration comparison	Trophic-level regression and food-web analysis
Research significance	Determines individual exposure	Evaluates potential food-chain amplification
Microplastic evidence	Reported in several freshwater organisms	Inconsistent; universal biomagnification has not been established

Table 4. Comparative Analysis of Microplastic Characterization Techniques

Analytical technique	Detection capability	Major advantages	Limitations
Optical microscopy	Visual identification of particles	Simple and cost-effective	Limited polymer identification
FTIR spectroscopy	Polymer identification	Reliable chemical characterization	Reduced efficiency for very small particles
Raman spectroscopy	Identification of smaller particles	High spatial resolution	Fluorescence interference
SEM	Surface morphology	Detailed structural analysis	Does not independently establish polymer identity
Pyrolysis-GC/MS	Polymer mass and chemical composition	Sensitive polymer quantification	Destructive analysis; limited particle-level information
DLS	Particle-size distribution in suitable suspensions	Useful for nanoscale characterization	Not specific to microplastics in complex environmental samples

Table 5. Potential Effects of Microplastics on Human Gut Microbiomes

Biological parameter	Potential interaction	Possible consequence	Assessment method
Microbial diversity	Changes in microbial community composition	Altered microbial balance	16S rRNA sequencing
Intestinal barrier	Interaction with epithelial cells	Potential barrier dysfunction	Cell culture and tight-junction analysis
Oxidative stress	Generation of reactive oxygen species	Cellular damage	Oxidative stress biomarkers
Inflammatory response	Activation of inflammatory pathways	Increased inflammatory signalling	Cytokine analysis
Microbial metabolism	Changes in microbial metabolic activity	Altered short-chain fatty acid production	Metabolomic analysis
Intestinal immunity	Interaction with mucosal immune mechanisms	Potential immune dysregulation	Immunological assays

Note: These are potential mechanisms under investigation; their occurrence and significance in humans exposed to environmentally relevant microplastic concentrations remain uncertain.

LIMITATIONS & DRAWBACKS

Despite increasing scientific interest in microplastic pollution, trophic transfer, biomagnification, and their potential implications for human gut microbiomes, several scientific, methodological, ecological, and technological limitations restrict a comprehensive understanding of this emerging environmental challenge. The complexity of microplastic characteristics, variations in freshwater ecosystems, and uncertainties surrounding human exposure make it difficult to establish consistent relationships between environmental contamination and biological health outcomes.

1. Limitations in Microplastic Detection and Identification

One of the major limitations is the difficulty of accurately detecting and identifying microplastics in complex freshwater environments. Microplastic particles vary considerably in size, shape, colour, density, and polymer composition. Very small particles may remain undetected through conventional microscopic techniques. Furthermore, natural organic materials, sediment particles, and biological debris can interfere with identification and quantification procedures.

2. Lack of Standardized Sampling Methodologies

Different research studies employ different sampling equipment, filtration procedures, digestion methods, and analytical techniques. These variations create difficulties in comparing microplastic concentrations across rivers, lakes, reservoirs, and wetlands. The absence of universally standardized protocols can also introduce inconsistencies in reported contamination levels.

3. Uncertainty in Trophic Transfer Mechanisms

Although microplastic transfer between aquatic organisms has been demonstrated, the mechanisms controlling its movement through freshwater food webs are not completely understood. Differences in feeding behaviour, digestive physiology, particle retention, and egestion influence the transfer process. Laboratory experiments may also fail to reproduce the complexity of natural predator–prey interactions.

4. Inconclusive Evidence of Biomagnification

A major scientific limitation is the lack of consistent evidence supporting microplastic biomagnification across successive trophic levels. Unlike certain persistent chemical contaminants, microplastic particles may be physically eliminated through biological processes. Consequently, higher trophic organisms do not necessarily exhibit greater microplastic burdens than lower trophic organisms. Variations in particle characteristics and exposure conditions further complicate biomagnification assessments.

5. Limited Understanding of Long-Term Ecological Effects

Most available experimental investigations focus on short-term exposure conditions. However, freshwater organisms may experience continuous or repeated microplastic exposure throughout their life cycles. The long-term consequences for growth, reproduction, metabolism, immunity, and population stability remain insufficiently understood.

CONCLUSION

Microplastic pollution in freshwater ecosystems represents a growing environmental concern with significant implications for aquatic biodiversity, ecological stability, food-web dynamics, and potentially human health. The widespread occurrence of microplastics in rivers, lakes, reservoirs, wetlands, and freshwater sediments demonstrates the extent to which plastic contamination has become integrated into aquatic environments. Their persistence, diverse physical characteristics, and ability to interact with chemical pollutants make microplastics particularly challenging environmental contaminants.

The present study highlights the importance of understanding microplastic ingestion and trophic transfer across different levels of freshwater food webs. Primary producers, zooplankton, benthic invertebrates, small fish, and higher-level predators may become exposed through direct ingestion or consumption of contaminated organisms. These interactions demonstrate the potential movement of microplastics through aquatic food chains. However, the available scientific evidence indicates that trophic transfer does not necessarily result in consistent biomagnification. Differences in particle retention, ingestion, egestion, feeding behaviour, and organism physiology significantly influence microplastic accumulation patterns.

Another important aspect of this research is the potential relationship between microplastic exposure and the human gastrointestinal microbiome. Consumption of contaminated freshwater fish, drinking water, and other dietary sources may contribute to human exposure. Experimental investigations suggest that microplastics could potentially influence intestinal barrier integrity, oxidative stress, inflammatory responses, microbial diversity, and gastrointestinal metabolic activities. Nevertheless, direct evidence establishing causal relationships between environmentally relevant microplastic exposure and alterations in the human gut microbiome remains limited. Therefore, further clinical, epidemiological, and experimental investigations are necessary to clarify these potential health implications.

REFERENCES

1. Bhatt, V., & Chauhan, J. S. (2023). Microplastic in freshwater ecosystem: Bioaccumulation, trophic transfer, and biomagnification. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30(4), 9389–9400. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-24529-w
2. Bora, S. S., Gogoi, R., Sharma, M. R., Anshu, Borah, M. P., Deka, P., Bora, J., Naorem, R. S., Das, J., & Teli, A. B. (2024). Microplastics and human health: Unveiling the gut microbiome disruption and chronic disease risks. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology, 14, 1492759. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1492759
3. Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Halsband, C., & Galloway, T. S. (2011). Microplastics as contaminants in the marine environment: A review. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 62(12), 2588–2597. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.09.025
4. Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Fileman, E., Halsband, C., Goodhead, R., Moger, J., & Galloway, T. S. (2013). Microplastic ingestion by zooplankton. Environmental Science & Technology, 47(12), 6646–6655. https://doi.org/10.1021/es400663f
5. Fernandes, R., Martins, R., & Marques, C. (2025). A critical review of microplastics characterisation in aquatic environments: Recent trends in the last 10 years. Analytical Methods, 17, 1415–1427. https://doi.org/10.1039/D4AY01802H
6. Fournier, E., Leveque, M., Ruiz, P., Ratel, J., Durif, C., Chalancon, S., Amiard, F., Edely, M., Bezirard, V., Gaultier, E., Lamas, B., Houdeau, E., Lagarde, F., Engel, E., Etienne-Mesmin, L., Blanquet-Diot, S., & Mercier-Bonin, M. (2023). Microplastics: What happens in the human digestive tract? First evidences in adults using in vitro gut models. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 442, 130010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2022.130010
7. Gao, B., Chen, L., Wu, L., Zhang, S., Zhao, S., Mo, Z., Chen, Z., & Tu, P. (2025). Association between microplastics and the functionalities of human gut microbiome. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 290, 117497. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2024.117497

8. Gong, N., Wang, Z., Wang, X., & Shao, K. (2024). Uptake, removal and trophic transfer of fluorescent polyethylene microplastics by freshwater model organisms: The impact of particle size and food availability. Aquatic Toxicology, 277, 107165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2024.107165
9. Gouin, T. (2020). Toward an improved understanding of the ingestion and trophic transfer of microplastic particles: Critical review and implications for future research. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 39(6), 1119–1137. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4718
10. Horton, A. A., Walton, A., Spurgeon, D. J., Lahive, E., & Svendsen, C. (2017). Microplastics in freshwater and terrestrial environments: Evaluating the current understanding to identify the knowledge gaps and future research priorities. Science of the Total Environment, 586, 127–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.190
11. Huang, Z. (2023). Analytical methods for microplastics in the environment: A review. Environmental Chemistry Letters, 21, 383–401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-022-01525-7
12. Jiménez-Arroyo, C., Tamargo, A., Molinero, N., Reinosa, J. J., Alcolea-Rodriguez, V., Portela, R., Bañares, M. A., Fernández, J. F., & Moreno-Arribas, M. V. (2023). Simulated gastrointestinal digestion of polylactic acid (PLA) biodegradable microplastics and their interaction with the gut microbiota. Science of the Total Environment, 902, 166003. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.166003
13. Krause, S., Baranov, V., Nel, H. A., Drummond, J. D., Kukkola, A., Hoellein, T., Sambrook Smith, G. H., Lewandowski, J., Bonet, B., Packman, A. I., Sadler, J., Inshyna, V., Allen, S., Allen, D., Simon, L., Mermillod-Blondin, F., & Lynch, I. (2021). Gathering at the top? Environmental controls of microplastic uptake and biomagnification in freshwater food webs. Environmental Pollution, 268, 115750. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115750
14. Li, J., Liu, H., & Chen, J. P. (2018). Microplastics in freshwater systems: A review on occurrence, environmental effects, and methods for microplastics detection. Water Research, 137, 362–374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.12.056
15. Parker, B., Beckingham, B., Ingram, B., Ballenger, J., Weinstein, J. E., & Sancho, G. (2021). Microplastics in freshwater fishes: Occurrence, impacts and future perspectives. Fish and Fisheries. https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12528
16. Peng, Y., Lu, J., Fan, L., Dong, W., & Jiang, M. (2024). Simulated gastrointestinal digestion of two different sources of biodegradable microplastics and the influence on gut microbiota. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 185, 114474. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2024.114474
17. Setälä, O., Fleming-Lehtinen, V., & Lehtiniemi, M. (2014). Ingestion and transfer of microplastics in the planktonic food web. Environmental Pollution, 185, 77–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.10.013
18. Tamargo, A., Molinero, N., Reinosa, J. J., Alcolea-Rodriguez, V., Portela, R., Bañares, M. A., Fernández, J. F., & Moreno-Arribas, M. V. (2022). PET microplastics affect human gut microbiota communities during simulated gastrointestinal digestion, first evidence of plausible polymer biodegradation during human digestion. Scientific Reports, 12, 528. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-04489-w
19. Wagner, M., Scherer, C., Alvarez-Muñoz, D., Brennholt, N., Bourrain, X., Buchinger, S., Fries, E., Grosbois, C., Klasmeier, J., Marti, T., Rodriguez-Mozaz, S., Urbatzka, R., Vethaak, A. D., Winther-Nielsen, M., & Reifferscheid, G. (2014). Microplastics in freshwater ecosystems: What we know and what we need to know. Environmental Sciences Europe, 26, Article 12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-014-0012-7
20. Yang, L., Zhang, Y., Kang, S., Wang, Z., & Wu, C. (2021). Microplastics in freshwater sediment: A review on methods, occurrence, and sources. Science of the Total Environment, 754, 141948. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141948